Working Party on Cenadian Jmport<br>3uctas on Eggs

## QUESTTONS CO GATADI AN DETEGATION BY ATISTEALTA ON ECGS

A. Canadian supply manageront svstem
(i) Has a total count yet been made of regulated and unregulated flocks?

All regulated flocks were counted in the spring period of 1975.
The unregulated flocks have not been counted but the number of hens in these have been calculated from the sales records of registered hatcheries. These hatcheries are registered by the Canadian Department of Agriculture and hatch all the commercial chicks produced in Canada. Unannounced random hen counts on regulated flocks is a continuing process.
(ii) If this has not been done, how is the number of birds estimated? See (i) above.
(iii) What are the maximum permitted sizes for unlicensed flocks in each province?

| British Columbia | 500 | Quebec | 250 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alberta | 200 | New Brunswick | 500 |
| Saskatchewan | 300 | Nova Scotia | 500 |
| Manitoba | 500 | Prince Edward Island | 300 |
| Ontario | 500 | Newfoundland | 500 |

(iv) What are the production quotas for unlicensed flocks in each province? There are not "production quotas" as such in each province for unlicensed flocks. An allcwance is made for such production based on delivery of chicks to such producers multiplied by annual average production per hen in these flocks of fourteen dozen.
(v) How are production quotas for unlicensed flocks determined?

See (iv) in the foregoing.
(vi) Are unilicensed flocks subject to penalty for over-production? If so, how is this calculated?

If an unlicensed producer has more hens than permitted he is required to obtain a licence and is subject to prosecution for failure to obtain a licence.
(vii) What is the proportion of regulated to unregulated flocks in each province?

The record below shows the number and proportion of unregulated birds in each province which total about 13 per cent of the national flock and represents about 10 per cent of the total egg production.

Per cent Number of unregulated birds

British Columbia
6.1

154,579
Alberta
36.5

713,431
Saskatchewan
59.0

677,564
Manitoba
13.7

340,427
Ontario
8.0

665,847
Quebec
4.9

170,660
New Brunswick
8.3

33,934
Nova Scotia
5.3

47,311
Prince Edward Island
23.2

30,186
Newfoundland
6.7

31,311
(viii) Has action been taken yet to license all egg marketers? If not, how can marketing of over-quota eggs be determined? For example, in case of ungraded eggs sold by producers direct to restaurants or bakery chains or other outlets?

Major producers, dealers, graders and vendors who ship interprovincially are licensed by CEMA for purposes of reporting and monitoring shipments. Provincial boards register all producers, dealers, graders and vendors for the purposes of reporting and monitoring intraprovincial production and marketings. Over-quota eggs are determined by monitoring the producers' sales records, hen counts, etc., and in four provinces by a
stamp system. This systen rsquires that each licensed producer buy a quantity of stamps equal to his quota. All sales of eggs must bear an appropriate stamp and sales without stamps are subject to legal action. Restaurants, bakeries, etc., ere prohibited by provincial legislation from buying ungraded eggs directly from producers.
(ix) What is the bond required from each Provincial Board to ensure payment of penalties?

British Columbia

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 120,550.00 \\
87,040.00 \\
47,600.00 \\
114,080.00 \\
381,610.00 \\
165,560.00 \\
18,280.00 \\
41,060.00 \\
6,370.00 \\
17,850.00
\end{array}
$$

Prince Edward Island
(x) Have any penalties been paid to CEMA and/or Provincial authorities in respect of production and marketing in excess of Provincial quota allocations?

Provincial Commodity Boards must post irrevocable bonds to be drawn by CTMM should hen numbers be in excess of those determined as appropriate to the global allocation. CEMA has already cashed a $\$ 165,000.00$ bond posted by the Quebec Board.

Individual provincial boards have their systems of control and penalties for non-compliant producers. In the Atlantic Provinces, only sufficient stamps are issued equal to the allocation based on hen numbers producing at the rate of nineteen dozen per annum. Products without stamps have been seized with owners losing all value of these eggs.

In all other provinces the provincial boards have had occasion to discipline some producers in one way or another. This has involved court proceedings and fines for non-compliance of levy payments or for overquota production. These financial penalties have varied but have been as severe as $140,000.00$. In other cases the producers have had their quota cancelled and, consequently, will not be re-issued a quota for a new flock.
(xi) What measures have been taken to prevent an increase in the number of unlicensed flocks?

The Supplemental Agreement through Clause 12 recognizes the need to increase the control over the number and size of "unregulated" flocks. In effect it says that steps are to be taken to see that production from that segment of the industry does not increase. Several proposed actions are set out. One requires a pullet purchase permit, another the registration of all flocks of over 100 birds and the third is the licensing of producers wishing to market through normal marketing channels.
(xii) What percentage of egg production is sold through licensed marketers?

Licensed marketers include those licensed under the Canada Agricultural Products Standards Act by Agriculture Canada, under provincial egg regulations by provinces, and under provincial egg production legislation. Eggs passing through these licensed marketers total 82-84 per cent of production. Of the remaining eggs 3.5 per cent are consumed on farm of production, 6 per cent to hatcheries to produce chicks, and 2 per cent are rejected at licensed egg grading stations. The remaining 4.5 to 6.5 per cent are sold by producers to housewives.
(xiii) What check is made to ensure that ungraded eggs moved across provincial borders are not sold ungraded to consumers other than processors?

There are only two types of movement of ungraded eggs across provincial boundaries. These are truck or carloads from an egg grading station to another egg grading station or to a processor. The other type is dozen lots from producers to housewives, particularly at border points in the Prairie Provinces.

The first type is very closely monitored by federal inspectors who monitor the operations of all registered egg grading stations and processors. The second type is insignificant in volume, and while illegal, does not warrant continuous monitoring.

## B. Basis for determining import quotas

(i) What was production and importation of egg powder and frozen egg products during representative period?

See attached tables "Canadian Annual Production of Processed Egg" (Appendix A) and "Total Imports of Shell Eggs, Egg Powder and Frozen Eggs from All Countries" (Appendix B).
(ii) Are "market needs" claimed by Canada to be a special factor in terms of Article XI for setting import quotas. If so, we would appreciate amplification of that point?

No. Market needs are nevertheless a factor to be taken into account in setting quota levels. This factor has not affected annual quota levels so far but could do so in future years.
(iii) Why was it decided to increase these import quotas in 1975 by over 20 per cent over the average level in the base period?

On the reintroduction of import controls in July 1975 the quota on shell eggs was increased by 20 per cent over the original quota which was established the previous yaar on the basis of average imports during the five-year period 1969-1973. This decision was taken following representations from the United States, the predominant supplier of shell eggs to the Canadian market, and reflected a judgement based on our initial experience with the programme that this higher level of imports of shell eggs could be accommodated. It also reflected a decire, having regard to historically wide fluctuations in import levels, to put beyond any doubt that in setting the quota level for shell eggs Canada had fully observed its international obligations. The quotas for egg powder and frozen egg products were not adjusted, as they had proved to be more than adequate in relation to actual trade flows.

| Year | Frozen <br> Whole <br> Egg | Frozen Yolk | Frozen Albumen | Other (1) | Total <br> Processed <br> Fec <br> (Frozen Equiv.) | Eeg sold as Powder (2) | Processed Fug sold As frocien | Total <br> Processed 30-dozen case equivaler:t (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1951 | 8,361, 1,53 | 1,1.55,100 | 1,400,180 |  | 10,919,733 | 576,998 | 8,611,71,1 | 287,361 |
| 1952 | 10,860,960 | 2,168,586 | 2,704,600 | - | 15,734,152 | 672,276 | 13,015,01,8 | 41/1,057 |
| 1953 | 9,753,441 | 2,1,65,800 | 2,94,5,596 | - | 15,1;9,837 | 655,2014 | 12,514, 121 | 399,206 |
| 1954 | 10,078,521 | 2,467,237 | 2,317,252 | - | 14, 8: 03,010 | 94,0,653 | 11,100,398 | 391,132 |
| 1955 | 11,600,198 | 2,412,290 | 2,338,706 | - | 16,35],1.914 | 1,122,000 | 11, 863,191 | 430,2¢5 |
| 1956 | 10,643,462 | 2,905,4,68 | 2,460,588 | - | 16,009,518 | 1,061,000 | 11,76j, 518 | 421,3013 |
| 1957 | 19,695,235 | 3, 1:79,6514 | 2,159,289 | - | 25,633,178 | 901,000 | 22,02?, 1.78 | 674,557 |
| 1958 | 10.352,175 | 3,730,265 | 2,844,1,82 | - | 16,966,9?2 | 693,000 | 14, 19, $\%^{2}$, ? 2 | 1,1,6,1,98 |
| 1959 | 20,715,870 | 4,050,870 | 3,923,734 | - | 28, $570,1,80$ | 911,000 | 25, | 755,013 |
| 1960 | 13.513,91\% | 4,194,992 | 3,791,2!11 | - | 21,830,1177 | 1,042,000 | 17,632,147 | 573,6£3 |
| 1961 | 10, 559,211 | 3,138,736 | 3,237,936 | - | 17,235,683 | 820', 105 | 13,930,063 | 453,1471 |
| 1962 | 13,036,510 | 4,093,414 | 4,171,031 | - | 21,300,915 | 714,533 | 18,3:2, 22.3 | 560,551 |
| 1963 | 11:761,806 | 3,190,163 | 3,313,316 | - | 18,255,290 | 833,835 | 14,919,950 | 480, 14.62 |
| 196 | 15,016,636 | 3,959,915 | 4,233,720 | , 238, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 23,212,271 | 7:26,565 | 20,306,011 | 610,81,9 |
| 1965 | 12,j94, $6=0$ | 3,117,059 | 3,799,016 | 4,238,349 | 23,350,(0) 4 | $64,8,91,2$ | 20,154,236 | 614,474 |
| 1966 | 8,21,5,833 | 1,989,604 | 3,012,012 | 5,014,990 | 18,265,4,69 | 9(1),093 | 14, 661$], 097$ | 430,670 |
| 1967 | 11, 156, $2 \cdot 26$ | 3,250,943 | 4,118,301 | 8,419,725 | 30,0u1,995 | 1,107,854 | 25,593,579 | 189,86.8 |
| 1968 | 11,479,420 | 2,367,336 | 2,74il,006 | 7,79! , 825 | 24,335,587 | 1,181,620 | 19,635,107 | 641,72.6 |
| 1969 | -1,167,973 | 2,671,236 | 3,336,809 | 7,222,84,8 | 22,3)39,466 | 1,235,725 | 17,14, ${ }^{\text {, }}$,966 | 589, 1.4 .4 |
| 1970 | 15,347,638 | 4, $1: 28,793$ | 4,262,188 | 7,283,34,4 | 33,3:22,013. | 1,292,1,12 | 28,152,365 | 876,895 |
| 1971 | 15,727,576 | 3,304, 143 | 4,31,1,04,2 | 12,722,009 | 36,024,770 | 1,405,357 | 30,473,342 | 949,862 |
| 19\%12 | 12,811,643 | 2,783,523 | 3,991,84,8 | 11,753,1.43 | 31,31,0,557 | 1,1,35,216 | 25,599,673 | 824,752 |
| 1973 | 14,634,278 | 2,730,536 | 3,343,912 | 11,955,24,5 | 32,663,971 | 1,484,61,0 | 26,725,411 | 859,578 |
| 1774 | 16,504, 665 | 3,530,385 | 3,826,1,80 | 17,269,959 | 41,131,489 | 1,506,281 | 35,106,365 | 1,082,408 |
| 1909-73 Av. | 13,483,832 | 3,183,726 | 3,555,160 | 10,587,318 | 31,164,035 | 1,370,670 | 25,683,755 | 820,106 |

[^0]| Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Shell Eggs } \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Egg Powder } \\ \text { (2) }{ }^{*} \end{gathered}$ | Regular Egg Melange (3) * | Total Case Equivalents $(1+2+3)$ | Total Egg <br> Production (000 cases) <br> (less hatching (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 3,925 | 20,667 | 1,826 | 26,418 | 1.14,038 |
| 1961 | 51,376 | 25,171 | 1,2.07 | 78,354 | 13,809 |
| 1962 | 55,838 | 26,1,11 | 716 | 82,965 | 13,933 |
| 1963 | 190,173 | 20,767 | çó | 211,906 | 13,360 |
| 1906 | 16,724 | 25,390 | 171 | 42,285 | 13,88) |
| 1955 | 80,766 | 38,319 | 10,140 | 129,224 | 13,7 3 |
| 1966 | 256,866 | 63,377 | 179,572 | 599,835 | 13, 818 |
| 10¢7 | 299,891 | 110,785 | 234,220 | 54! 1,896 | 14,032 |
| 1968 | 146,375 | 90,011 | 57,678 | 291, 064 | 114,382 |
| 1969 | 10! 4 ,683 | 124,773 | 62,613 | 292,069 | 14,925 |
| 1970 | 19,882 | 98,374 | 114,035 | 232,291 | 15,65'7 |
| 1971 | 7,973 | 46,572 | 64,1,53 | 118,993 | 15,788 |
| 1972 | 58,820 | 90,681 | 11,051 | 160,552 | 12,746 |
| 1973 | 31,296 | 77,949 | 6,712 | 115,957 | 14,504 |
| 1974 | 195,759 | 52,822 | 10,486 | 259,067 | 14,472 |
| 1969-1973 Av, | 44,531 | 87,670 | 51,773 | 183,974 | 15,124 |

(2) Source: Annual Poultry Market Review.
(2) \& (3) Source: Trade of Canada, Statistics Canada ( $65-007$ monthly).

* Egg Powder converted at 10 pounds per case; egg melange - 38 pounds per case.
(5) Source: Statistics Canada, Summary Publication 23-202 (1950-1974).


[^0]:    Source: Annual Poultry Market Review - Agriculture Canada.
    (1) Repres rits egE mixes \& Fresh Melange sales.
    (2) Record 3 not published but obtained unofficially from industry sourees. Conver.ed at 38 pounds per case.

